

Assessment of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation Potential: Cropping Systems in the SE USA

James W. Jones





Projects


I. Bipartisan Policy Center (BPC)*

Climate Change Impacts on Peanut, Cotton, and Corn in Georgia and Florida

II. NASA – ROSES – Gulf Coast States Project

Integration of NASA Models and Missions into Agricultural Decision Support (Florida, Georgia, Alabama)

* Advisory Board: Senators Howard Baker, Tom Daschle, Bob Dole, George Mitchell






BPC Project Goals



1. Downscale climate change projections for the SE USA (to weather stations) (K. Hayhoe)
2. Assess impacts of CC on climate indices important for agriculture
3. Simulate climate change impacts on crop production and irrigation requirements and assess potential adaptation options
4. Engage farmers and Extension to learn how they cope with climate variability and past climate change





BPC Project Partners

University of Florida:

James W. Jones, Guillermo Baigorria, Wendy-Lin Bartels, Kenneth J. Boote, Clyde Fraisse, Keith T. Ingram

University of Georgia:

Gerrit Hoogenboom, Carla Roncoli

Florida State University:


David Zierden and Vasu Misra

Texas Tech University:

Kathryn Hayhoe

Key Partners in Extension:

David Wright (UF), William Birdsong (Auburn), John Beasley & Bob Kemerait (UGA)





BPC Project Methods




1. Downscaling

- a. Two GHG scenarios, 4 climate models selected on basis of their ability to simulate current climate (Hayhoe)
- b. Statistical, downscale to points based on weather station network
- c. Daily realizations of climate variables for each station


2. Agricultural Climate Working Group

- a. Historical changes in climate
- b. Facilitated discussions of climate risks, responses to climate variability and barriers to adaptation

3. Crop model analyses. Simulate impacts of climate on yields, irrigation and on adaptation potential (~ 500 million runs)



Meteorological Stations and Associated Counties




- Over 200 stations for Florida, Georgia, and Alabama, each county has been associated with a station for comprehensive coverage.
- SECC database available at COAPS, now used in www.AgroClimate.org, other SECC research

Location of meteorological stations and associated counties in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama.

Example Results from NE Study by Hayhoe et al. (2008): Regional climate change projections for the Northeast USA. Mitig Adapt Strat Glob Change (2008) 13:425–436

428


Mitig Adapt Strat Glob Change (2008) 13:425 436



Comparison of 1990s (a, e) observed, (c, g) statistically downscaled, and (d, h) seasonal-mean summertime maximum daily temperatures (K), and total precipitation (mm)



BPC Results to Date



1. Downscaling

Completed, and data being used to compute climate indices, input to models

2. Agricultural Climate Working Group

Three crop working group meetings


3. Crop model analyses. These are being run now (~ 500 million runs)



Interest in Climate Scenarios based on Historical Changes


Minimum Temperature DeFuniak Springs, FL

Minimum Temperature Graph



Precipitation Climate Graph

Precipitation Lake City, FL




1st Meeting
April 12th
Camilla, GA.

2nd Meeting
Aug. 30th

3rd Meeting
Feb 18th 2011,
Alabama



Build a shared picture of the past: Stories of adapting to change



Production Successes and Challenges

Hypothetical Futures




Seasonal Variability and ENSO effects on yields
The potential of models to inform decision making



Future scenarios and simulations
Roles & Group Assessment

Lessons Learned




- Strong interest in historical changes in climate and events
- Interest in climate change is at a different time scale than the long term changes from IPCC (interest in weather to climate over next year, 5 years, or 20 years mostly)
- infrastructural and industrial constraints may limit changes to their management practices.
- Markets and farm bill policies influence the possibility of modifying agricultural systems.



NASA Project Goals

1. Downscale climate change projections for the SE USA (to weather stations) C. Rosenzweig, A. Ruane, G. Baigorria, R. Horton (NASA and University of Florida)
2. Evaluate uncertainties associated with methods for producing future climate scenarios
3. Calibrate crop models for current crops, soils, climate, management
4. Simulate climate change impacts and potential adaptation on crop production and irrigation requirements





NASA Project Partners

University of Florida:


Guillermo Baigorria (PI), James W. Jones, Clyde Fraisse

NASA-GISS, Columbia University:

Alex Ruane, Cynthia Rosenzweig, Radley Horton, Adam Greeley, Jonathan Winter

Florida State University:

David Zierden, D. Shinn





BPC Project Methods


1. Downscaling

- a. AR4 scenarios and climate models (16)
- b. Downscaling methods (to points)
 - a. Delta method
 - b. Geospatial Weather Generator (GiST, Baigorria et al., 2010).
 - c. Distribution bias correction method
 - d. Also use NARCCAP results

2. Assemble SE USA database on soils, management, climate. Calibrate the DSSAT crop models for key crops in the SE


3. Crop model analyses. Analysis of uncertainties in model simulations (associated with climate shifts in mean, distribution and extreme events)

4. Provide Information for Decision Support. Develop tools for presenting crop model results to users via AgroClimate.org





NASA Project Results to Date




- Over 200 stations for Florida, Georgia, and Alabama, each county has been associated with a station for comprehensive coverage.
- Delta method has been used to create scenarios by imposing A2 and B1 temperature and precipitation changes from 16 GCMs for the 2020-2049, 2040-2069, and 2070-2099 period on each location's daily observations from 1970-1999




Location of meteorological stations and associated counties in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama.

GCM Ensemble Mean Changes for Growing Season

a) Change in temperature




b) % Change in rainfall




Mean changes in a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and b) precipitation (%) from 16 GCM ensemble for May-August growing season (A2 2050s compared to 1980s baseline period).

GCM-based Projections (A2 2050s vs. 1970-99)



25th percentile of % change in corn yield



Median % change in corn yield (A2 2050s)



75th percentile of % change in corn yield




Using simple delta method from GCMs, corn projected to be negatively impacted by mean changes in T, P, and CO₂


- Substantial variability between 16 GCMs with output for the A2 2050s
- Spatial variability in soils, observed climate, and projected mean changes

NARCCAP % changes in growing season rainfall distribution's alpha parameter (lower = more extreme)


GFDL/rcm3





CGCM3/crcm



CGCM3/rcm3




HadCM3/hrm3




RCM3 simulations producing more extreme distribution of rainfall

NARCCAP % changes in number of rainy days in growing season

GFDL/rcm3





CGCM3/crcm



CGCM3/rcm3




HadCM3/hrm3




Decrease in number of wet days projected for almost all land areas in all models

GCM Ensemble Projections



25th percentile of % change in corn yield



Median % change in corn yield (A2 2050s)



75th percentile of % change in corn yield




No variability changes

- Imposes mean changes entirely on intensity of storms, not frequency

AgMIP

The Agricultural Model Intercomparison and Improvement Project





Potential Relevance to the PWSU- CWIG Community



Climate Scenarios for Florida

- **AR4**
- **AR5**

Evaluation of methods

**Potentially, changes in irrigation
needs of crops**

Access to additional partners (?)

